

FILED

OCT 13 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

No. 15-90127

ORDER

THOMAS, Chief Judge:

A pro se litigant alleges that a district judge improperly failed to appoint counsel and made incorrect rulings in his civil case. Any disagreement complainant has with the judge's rulings is merits-related and not cognizable in a misconduct proceeding. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the judge was biased against him on account of his race and pro se status. Because adverse rulings are not evidence of bias, see In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 583 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2009), and complainant offers no objectively verifiable proof in support of these allegations, they must be dismissed as unsupported. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D).

DISMISSED.